Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/544,214	BERGERON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAMES A. FIORITO	1793
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) JAMES A. FIORITO.	(3)	
(2) Eric Babych.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 April 2009.		
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes e)   No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>8 and 17</u> ,		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>An agreement was made to change is ratio</u> to <u>The ratio</u> in light of a potential palection over 112.2" paragraph of indefinitions.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCS OF THE INTERVIEW. SEE MIPE SECTION 304, If a reply to be last Office and on has already been fleed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATEMAY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on verses side or on attached sheet.		
/James A Fiorito/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793		